

## BEFORE THE ARIZUNATURE OF COMMISSION DOCKETED 1 2 CARL J. KUNASEK CHAIRMAN AUG 0 2 2000 3 JIM IRVIN COMMISSIONER DOCKETED BY 4 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL COMMISSIONER 5 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. E-01891A-00-0118 6 GARKANE POWER ASSOCIATION FOR PERMISSION TO TRANSFER CERTAIN OF ITS DECISION NO. 62765 7 SERVICE TERRITORY TO THE CITY OF FREDONIA. OPINION AND ORDER 8 DATE OF HEARING: July 11, 2000 9 PLACE OF HEARING: Phoenix, Arizona 10 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Karen E. Nally 11 APPEARANCES: Mr. Michael Grant, Gallagher & Kennedy, P.A. on 12 behalf of Garkane Power Association; 13 Ms. Janice Alward, Staff Attorney, Legal Division, on behalf of the Utilities Division of the Arizona \$ Corporation Commission. 15 BY THE COMMISSION: 16 On February 18, 2000, Garkane Power Association ("Garkane") filed an application for 17 permission to transfer certain of its service territory to the City of Fredonia ("Fredonia"). 18 On June 13, 2000, Staff of the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Staff") filed a Staff Report 19 with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission"). 20 On June 26, 2000, Fredonia filed a Motion to Intervene ("Motion"). On July 7, 2000, 21 Fredonia was granted intervention. 22 On July 7, 2000, Garkane filed an Affidavit of Publication which confirmed publication on 23 June 28 and July 5, 2000. Garkane also stated that it mailed notice to Garkane's current Arizona 24 customers on or about June 20, 2000. 25 Discussion 25 Garkane is a Utah nonprofit cooperative that provides electric service to its members. More 1 ہے than 90% of its members reside in Utah with the remaining customers located in Arizona. 28

In Decision No. 38446, dated April 4, 1966, the Commission authorized Garkane to serve

1 | 1 | 2 | a | 3 | s

S/H/KAREN/001180&O

most of the power needs of the Kaibab Lumber Company ("Kaibab"). Decision No. 38446 also authorized California-Pacific Utilities Company ("Cal-Pac") to continue to serve the domestic and small motor electrical needs of Kaibab at this plant location. Subsequent to the issuance of Decision No. 38446, Fredonia acquired the Cal-Pac system. In February 1995, Kaibab ceased most of its operations at the property site.

Garkane has agreed to transfer the right to serve the Kaibab service territory to Fredonia. Fredonia stated that it is ready, willing and able to serve the power needs at the Kaibab property. According to Staff, the transfer of the Kaibab service territory will not impact Garkane's ability to provide service to its other Arizona members particularly in light of the substantially reduced load serviced by Garkane after Kaibab ceased most of its operations.

Although Fredonia intervened in this matter, it did not submit any testimony or comments and did not appear at the hearing. Staff has been in contact with Fredonia who indicated to Staff that it intervened to monitor the case.

Staff has reviewed the effect of Fredonia's rates on Kaibab, the one affected customer, and determined that Kaibab would not be harmed by a change in provider should it resume operations in the future. Staff testified at the hearing that Fredonia's rates for Kaibab are presently lower than Garkane's rates currently in effect. Staff therefore recommends approval of the application of Garkane to transfer that portion of the service territory as set forth in Exhibit A.

Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the Commission finds, concludes, and orders that:

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On February 18, 2000, Garkane filed an application for permission to transfer certain of its territory to Fredonia.
  - 2. On June 13, 2000, Staff filed a Staff Report with the Commission.
  - 3. On June 26, 2000, Fredonia filed a Motion to Intervene.
  - 4. On July 7, 2000, Fredonia was granted intervention.
  - 5. On July 7, 2000, Garkane filed an Affidavit of Publication and also represented that it

mailed notice to its Arizona customers on or about June 20, 2000.

2

6. Garkane is a Utah nonprofit cooperative that provides electric service to its members.

4

7. More than 90% of its members reside in Utah with remaining customers located in Arizona.

5 6 8. In Decision No. 38446, dated April 4, 1966, the Commission authorized Garkane to serve most of the power needs of Kaibab.

7 8 9. Decision No. 38446 also authorized Cal-Pac to continue to serve the domestic and small motor electrical needs of Kaibab at this plant location.

9

10. Subsequent to the issuance of Decision No. 38446, Fredonia acquired the Cal-Pac system.

11

11. In February 1995, Kaibab ceased most of its operations at the property site.

12

12. Garkane has agreed to transfer the right to serve the Kaibab service territory to Fredonia.

13 14

13. Fredonia stated that it is ready, willing, and able to serve the power needs at the Kaibab property.

15 16

14. According to Staff, the transfer of the Kaibab service territory will not impact Garkane's ability to provide service to its other Arizona members particularly in light of the substantially reduced load serviced by Garkane after Kaibab ceased most of its operations.

18

19

17

15. Although Fredonia intervened in this matter, it did not submit any testimony or comments and did not appear at the hearing. Staff has been in contact with Fredonia who indicated to Staff that it intervened to monitor the case.

2021

22

16. Staff reviewed the effect of Fredonia's rates on Kaibab, the one affected customer, and determined that Kaibab would not be harmed by a change in provider should it resume operations in the future.

24

25

26

23

17. Staff testified at the hearing that Fredonia's rates for Kaibab are presently lower than Garkane's rates currently in effect.

27

18. Staff recommends approval of Garkane's application to transfer that portion of the service territory as set forth in Exhibit A.

1 19. Staff's recommendations are reasonable and in the public interest. 2 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 3 1. Garkane is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the 4 Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-281 and 40-282. 5 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Garkane and the subject matter of the 6 application. 7 3. Notice of the application was given in accordance with the law. 8 4. The public will benefit by the transfer of the portion of Garkane's service territory as 9 described herein Exhibit A. 10 5. Staff's recommendation as set forth in Findings of Fact No. 18 is reasonable, in the 11 public interest, and should be adopted. 12 ORDER 13 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application of Garkane Power Association for 14 permission to transfer certain of its service territory as set forth in Exhibit A to the City of Fredonia is 15 hereby granted. 16 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately. 17 BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION. 18 masek 19 COMMISSIONER 20 21 22 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have 23 hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, 24 this  $\geq \mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{L}}$ day of Quartot, 2000. 25 26 McNEIL EXECUTIVE SECRETARY 27 DISSENT KEN 28 DECISION NO. 62765 S/H/KAREN/001180&O

## DOCKET NO. E-01891A-00-0118

	SERVICE LIST FOR:	GARKANE POWER ASSOCIATION
2	DOCKET NO.:	E-01891A-00-0118
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Michael M. Grant GALLAGHER & KENNEDY 2600 N. Central Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85004-3020 Attorneys for Garkane Power Association William D. Baker ELLIS & BAKER, P.C. 2111 E. Highland, Suite 355 Phoenix, Arizona 85016 Attorneys for Town of Fredonia  Lyn Farmer, Chief Counsel ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSIC 1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007  Deborah R. Scott Utilities Division Director ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSIC 1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

28

**EXHIBIT A** 

All of the South Half of the Southeast Quarter of Section 21, Township 41 North, Range 2 West, G&SRB&M., Lying South of U.S. Highway 89, Coconino County, State of Arizona; All of the Northeast quarter of the Northwest Quarter of Section 28 and all of the North Half of the Northeast Quarter of Section 28, Township 41 North, Range 2 West, G&SRB&M., Coconino County, State of Arizona.

DECISION NO. 62765